## L)JJAMJJCM ՆԵՆԵՐԵՆ ՈՂԻՐԱՅԱՆ ՆՐՈՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԵՐԱՆԵՐ ASSOCIATION





# Certain regulations on party finance need to be improved

At the meetings of the Constitutional Commission, representatives of the Georgian Dream cited this problem as one of the main reasons for abolishing electoral blocs.

#### I. Financial and other benefits for electoral blocs

The existence of electoral blocs has one legitimate goal, specifically, to help parties with a low rating combine their efforts and overcome the electoral threshold. In our

## L)JJAMJJCM ՆԵՆԵՐԵՆ ՈՂԻՐԱՅԱՆ ՆՐՈՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԵՐԱՆԵՐ ASSOCIATION



We believe that in order to eliminate the problem related to electoral blocs, it is necessary to ensure that parties participating in elections independently receive the same benefits as electoral blocs.

#### **Recommendations**

- Recipients of all types of budgetary funding 

  both direct and indirect funding should technically become electoral subjects, which means that one electoral subject, regardless of whether it is a party or an electoral bloc, should receive one equal portion of funding. This will involve no essential changes for parties participating in elections independently, while electoral blocs will be required to indicate in the statute at the time of registration in the CEC how they distribute direct budgetary funding, the funding from LEPL Electoral Systems Development, Reforms and Training Center (foundation), and the funding for representatives in election commissions, as well as the electoral number, the right to appoint members of election commissions, and free advertising time among political parties that are members of the bloc.
  - Electoral subjects should receive funding based on the results of the last parliamentary and/or local government elections. The so-called mixing of components should only be allowed if the electoral subject concerned overcame the 3% threshold in both elections. It is also necessary to establish temporary restrictions:
    - a) Electoral blocs should only be allowed to mix the components of the formula if they participated in both of the last elections with exactly the same composition;
    - o b) If a party participated in one election as part of a bloc and in another election 
       □ independently, only the results of the latter election should be

## L)JJAMJJCM ՆԵՆԵՐԵՆ ՈՂԻՐԱՅԱՆ ՆՐՈՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԱՆ ԱՄԵՐԱՄԵՐԱՆԵՐ ASSOCIATION



taken into account when making a decision on the funding.

• Seven electoral subjects that received the best results in the last general elections should be given the right to appoint a member in election commissions. If an electoral subject is an electoral bloc, the right to appoint a member should be given to the party determined by the bloc's statute.

### II. Funding for creating a faction

#### Recommendations

- Component H in Paragraph 4 of Article 30 of the Law on Political Unions of Citizens should be abolished and the formula should be formulated as follows: Z=B+(M\*600\*12)+(L\*100\*12)+(V\*1,5)+(W\*1);

## L)JJAMJJCM ՆԵՆԵՐԵՆ ՈՂԺՈՆՑՊՆ ՆԼՊԵՐՈՆԵՐՆ GEORGIAN YOUNG LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION



- In return, for abolishing this component, we believe it will be much fairer and logical to double the amount of basic funding in the case of overcoming a 5% threshold, instead of the 6% threshold that is provided for by Paragraph 6 of the same article. Currently, electoral subjects have to overcome a 6% threshold to have the basic funding doubled. This number seems to have been selected mechanically, because it is twice as much as the 3% threshold necessary to receive basic funding, which is illogical. The 5% threshold that we propose is related to a party 
☐ entry into the Parliament and, at the same time, it will partly compensate for the removal of component H from the formula.

Transparency International Georgia (TI Georgia)

Georgian Young Lawyers' Association (GYLA)

International Society for Fair Elections and Democracy (ISFED)

Public Movement "Multinational Georgia" (PMMG)

International Center for Civic Culture (ICCC)