ᲡᲐᲥᲐᲠᲗᲕᲔᲚᲝᲡ ᲐᲮᲐᲚᲒᲐᲖᲠᲓᲐ ᲘᲣᲠᲘᲡᲒᲗᲐ ᲐᲡᲝᲪᲘᲐᲪᲘᲐ **GEORGIAN YOUNG LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION** GYLA ւչոչ

GYLA applies to the General Prosecutor and the Appellate Court about the journalist of Tabula TV and other individuals sentenced to administrative liability

GYLA has been protecting interests of the employee of TTTTTT TV A and his two other companions brought to administrative liability, who were detained by police officers on June 28, 2014 as per Article 173 of the Administrative Code of Offences

L)JJAMJJCM ՆԵՆՐԵՆՆԵՐՆ ՈՂԺՈՆՑՊՆ ՆԼՊԵՈՆԵՈՆ GEORGIAN YOUNG LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION



(disobedience to lawful order of the police). Shortly after his detention information was released about alleged abuse of power by police and violation of the detainees
ights.

Doubts about legality of detention and abuse of power by police officers, as well as lack of effective procedural means in Administrative Code of Offences for exercise of the right to defense and violation of the right to fair trial on such category of cases generated GYLA's interest and it took a lead on the case.

As far as the court decision is unsubstantiated and comes in conflict with the law, GYLA will lodge a lawsuit in the Appellate Court on behalf of individuals recognized as administrative offenders and will claim invalidation of the court decision and termination of administrative case against TITTT A employee and his two companions for absence of administrative offence.

L)JJAMJJCM ՆեՆԵԱՆԵՐՆ ՈՂԻՄԵՐԱՆՄՆ ՆՐՄԵՐԱՆԵՐՆ GEORGIAN YOUNG LAWYERS' ASSOCIATION



Furthermore, GYLA will apply to the Prosecutor General with a request to launch investigation about alleged criminal conduct of policemen envisaged as per Criminal Code of Georgia. Namely, according to medical documentation injuries were observed in the area of eye and back after detention of one of the detainees. As he alleged, this was the result of physical abuse inflicted from the police. At the trial, police employees confirmed that the detained had no bodily injuries prior to detention.

According to the interpretation of the European Court of Human Rights (Dikme v. Turkey), when prior to interaction with a police, an individual has no bodily injuries, though afterwards they are observed, the state bears an obligation to submit a credible explanation about causes of injuries, otherwise it will constitute violation of Article 3 of the Convention.